



Architectural Review Board Staff Report
July 13, 2026 Meeting

**6905 CENTRAL COLLEGE ROAD NEW HOME
CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS AND WAIVERS**

LOCATION: 6905 Central College Road (PID: 222-000349)
APPLICANT: Old World Custom Homes c/o Patrick Miller
REQUEST: Certificate of Appropriateness with waivers
ZONING: Residential Estate District (R-1)
STRATEGIC PLAN: Residential
APPLICATION: ARB-36-2026

Review based on application materials received May 8, May 18, and June 24, 2026.

Staff report prepared by Lauren Sauter, Planner

I. REQUEST AND BACKGROUND

The applicant requests a certificate of appropriateness and associated waivers for select design elements for a new single-family home at 6905 Central College Road. The new home is proposed to utilize exterior materials that require review by the Architectural Review Board, and due to variations from the standards contained in the Design Guidelines and Requirements (“DGR” or “DGRs”), waivers have been included as part of the certificate of appropriateness application.

Unlike development in the Village Center, the intent of this certificate of appropriateness is not to evaluate the proposal as a whole; rather, the certificate of appropriateness is specifically regarding the proposed exterior materials and waivers.

This application was originally reviewed by the Architectural Review Board at its meeting on June 8, 2026. Multiple updates to the application have been made to better conform to the DGRs and to respond to the comments and concerns made by the Board and city architect. Changes to this staff report from the original application have been underlined. Overall, the main changes to the application include:

- Reducing the garage height and reconfiguring its layout. (This eliminates the waiver to DGR Section 5(II)(E)(3).)
- Reconfiguring architectural elements to better conform to one cohesive architectural style, as supported by the city architect. (This eliminates the waiver to DGR 1(I)(G).)
- Changing the placement of some exterior materials and using double-hung, divided-light windows. (The latter change eliminates the waiver to DGR 5(II)(F)(6).)
- Adding double-bay garage doors (see waiver C) and chimneys (see waiver D) following discussion with the city architect.

The applicant requests a certificate of appropriateness, as required by 1(I)(F) and 5(II)(F)(1), for the use of Hardie board and stone as exterior materials. Additionally, the applicant requests the following waivers as part of this application:

- (A) **Waiver to DGR Sections 1(I)(G), 1(II), and 5(II)(B)(2) to allow an architectural style not aligned with the American architectural precedents of the DGRs.**

- (B) Waiver to DGR Section 1(I)(E) to allow a garage that is located in front of the main portion of the building.
- (C) Waiver to DGR Section 5(II)(B)(3) to allow garage doors that are double-bay when single-bay garage doors are required.
- (D) Waiver to DGR Section 5(II)(F)(4) to allow chimneys with stone exteriors when brick exteriors are required.

II. SITE DESCRIPTION & USE

The approximately 3.63-acre site is located along Central College Road just outside of the Cedar Brook subdivision and across from Wentworth Crossing. The area is characterized by an older housing stock and low-density residential development.

At present, no structure exists on the site. Numerous mature trees are located on the lot, especially concentrated on its southern half. Sugar Run extends along the eastern portion of the site, which includes a pond shared between the subject site and the adjacent parcel; this offers unique natural aesthetics to the land. The site is encumbered by a floodplain generally along its entire eastern side due to Sugar Run. A swale, fence, and above-ground utility line run along the parcel's frontage. The site is notably larger than most other parcels in its vicinity.

III. EVALUATION

Certificate of Appropriateness

Per New Albany Design Guidelines and Requirements Section 1(I)(F) and 5(II)(F)(1), the use of exterior materials other than brick or wood requires review and approval by the New Albany Architectural Review Board. C.O. Section 1157.08 states that review of a certificate of appropriateness should include evaluation of whether the proposed environmental change complies with the criteria set forth in the Design Guidelines and Requirements, and upon review of the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness, the Architectural Review Board shall determine whether the proposed environmental change promotes, preserves, and enhances the architectural and historical assets of the city.

Additionally, per C.O. Section 1157.12, any person or entity having an interest in property that seeks to obtain a waiver from the requirements of the New Albany Design Guidelines and Requirements shall follow the procedures set forth in C.O. Chapter 1113. C.O. Chapter 1113.09 defines that a waiver to standards may be approved by the Architectural Review Board as part of a Certificate of Appropriateness application. In considering this request for waivers, the Architectural Review Board is directed to evaluate the application based on criteria in Chapter 1113.11.

Per **C.O. Section 1157.09, Criteria for Evaluation of Application for Certification of Design Appropriateness**, the proposal should be evaluated on these criteria:

1. *The compliance of the application with the Design Guidelines and Requirements and requirements of the Codified Ordinances.*

Context and general applicable design standards

- The following sections of the DGRs apply to the development:
 - Section 1: Design Principles and American Architectural Precedent – This section of the DGRs generally governs all development in the city.
 - Section 5: Residential Outside Village Center – This section provides the requirements for residential development outside of the Village Center. The goal for residential development both inside and outside the Village Center is to create high-quality buildings that enhance the character and livability of New Albany.
- DGR Sections 1(I)(J) and 5(II)(B)(1) recognize that lots within areas where there are a number of existing buildings and lots that existed prior to the creation of the Architectural

Review Board will not necessarily be expected to follow the American architectural precedent described in the DGR, and that greater attention should be given to the physical context of location so that the design is compatible with its neighbors.

- Wood and brick are the preferred exterior building materials for homes in the city of New Albany. DGR Sections 1(I)(F) and 5(II)(F)(2) state that the use of materials other than wood or brick, as noted in other sections, requires review and approval by the Architectural Review Board. Hardie board is noted in Section 5(II)(F)(1) as an alternative material that may be appropriate when used in the same way as traditional materials would have been used (i.e. the shape, size, profile, and surface texture of alternate materials must match historical practice; especially close attention must be paid to details such as corner boards and window and door trim to ensure a correct match to traditional wood elements). Additionally, numerous architectural precedent examples described in Section 1(II) note that stone examples can be found throughout their history. The Architectural Review Board shall review the appropriateness of the use of Hardie and stone exterior materials.

Evaluation

- The proposed architectural style appears to be inspired by transitional European design. Three main materials are proposed on the exterior walls of the home.
 - The applicant proposes the vertically oriented Hardie board-and-batten siding in Accessible Beige with the Select Cedarmill texture as one of the primary exterior materials. Staff is supportive of the use of Hardie board, which has been approved for many other homes and businesses in the city, including homes in the vicinity along Central College Road.
 - In addition to the Hardie board, the applicant proposes Hardie paneling in Urbane Bronze as an exterior material in certain locations. Hardie paneling appears to be similar to the Hardie board-and-batten.
 - Stone is recognized in the DGRs as an example material used in historical Georgian, Georgian Revival, Federal, and Greek Revival architecture, and stone is permitted in numerous residential subdivisions within the city. The applicant proposes the use of a Casa di Sassi Dente Quartz Ledgestone veneer as another exterior material. A majority of the use of stone is proposed on the front and left elevations; the stone will also be used along the foundation on all sides of the house.
 - The use of Hardie siding and stone as exterior materials appears appropriate. The materials are harmonious with the character of surrounding homes.
 - The proposed new home appears to meet all applicable codified ordinances, including required setbacks. However, the setback of the front façade of the house from the roadway is not able to be “in line” with neighboring houses due to limitations imposed by the floodplain and required setbacks and given the size and configuration of the proposed home. The garage mass extends farther out toward the roadway than the front façade of its neighboring homes. Additionally, the developer will be required to install street trees at the time of permitting.
2. *The visual and functional components of the building and its site, including but not limited to landscape design and plant materials, lighting, vehicular and pedestrian circulation, and signage.*
- This standard may not necessarily be applicable to the analysis of exterior building materials; however, the following information is still included as a supplement.
 - The site is encumbered by a floodplain generally along its entire eastern side. In addition to the required setbacks, this leaves a narrow, “long” buildable area on the site. The house plan fits the form of the site by use of a relatively “long” building form.
 - Numerous mature trees are located on the lot, especially concentrated on its southern half.
 - The new home is proposed to be set back approximately 81 feet from the centerline of Central College Road due to the garage mass that extends out from the primary building mass toward the public right-of-way. This appears to be slightly closer to the road than most other existing

homes in the vicinity. The applicant requests a waiver to allow the garage to be in front of the main portion of the building. While the garage mass is the frontmost portion of the house, the garage doors face the eastern side yard instead of the front yard.

- The existing site has no structures. A new curb cut is proposed to be installed to access the site and the new house.
 - Street trees will be required to be installed along the parcel frontage. The street trees may be placed behind the existing fence. A swale and above-ground utilities run along the frontmost portion of the site adjacent to the roadway, which may complicate adding the required pedestrian path in the area. Additionally, adding these improvements to the full site frontage would require disturbance to the floodplain area. Engineering staff is evaluating the site's right-of-way and the feasibility of installing the pedestrian path; if the path is unable to be installed, the property owner will have to pay a fee in-lieu of the path at such time that the City manager or their designee deems appropriate. The fee-in-lieu amount must be approved by City Council.
 - No exterior lighting is proposed at this time.
 - No signage is proposed at this time.
3. *The distinguishing original qualities or character of a building, structure, site and/or its environment shall not be destroyed.*
 - This standard is not applicable to the analysis of exterior building materials. However, it should be noted that the floodplain is shown to be undisturbed by the new home build.
 4. *All buildings, structures and sites shall be recognized as products of their own time.*
 - No historic structures exist or are being modified on the site. The proposed development is new construction and does not seek to create a false sense of history; however, the house is proposed to be more transitional in style, utilizing elements of both historical and contemporary practice. The home is not of a traditional historical style and does not appear to meet this criterion.
 5. *Distinctive stylistic features or examples of skilled craftsmanship which characterize a building, structure or site shall be created with sensitivity.*
 - Following the feedback from the June 8 Architectural Review Board meeting, the applicant has coordinated with the city architect to update the proposed design for a single, more clearly selected architectural style.
 6. *The surface cleaning of masonry structures shall be undertaken with methods designed to minimize damage to historic building materials.*
 - This standard is not applicable. No masonry structures are proposed.
 7. *Wherever possible, new additions or alterations to structures shall be done in such a manner that if such additions or alterations were to be removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the original structure would be unimpaired.*
 - This standard is not applicable. No structures currently exist on the site.

Waiver Request

The Architectural Review Board's review of waivers is pursuant to **C.O. Section 1113.11, Action by the Architectural Review Board**. Within 30 days after the public meeting, the Architectural Review Board shall either approve, approve with supplementary conditions, or disapprove the request for a waiver. The Architectural Review Board shall only approve a waiver or approve a waiver with supplementary conditions if it finds that the waiver, if granted, would:

1. *Provide an appropriate design or pattern of development considering the context in which the development is proposed and the purpose of the particular standard. In evaluating the context as it is used in the criteria, the ARB may consider the relationship of the proposed development with adjacent structures, the immediate neighborhood setting, or a broader vicinity to determine if the waiver is warranted.*
2. *Substantially meet the intent of the standard that the applicant is attempting to seek a waiver from, and fit within the goals of the Village Center Strategic Plan, Land Use Strategic Plan and the Design Guidelines and Requirements.*
3. *Be necessary for reasons of fairness due to unusual building, structure, or site-specific conditions.*
4. *Not detrimentally affect the public health, safety or general welfare.*

The applicant requests the following waivers as part of the application:

(A) Waiver to DGR Sections 1(I)(G), 1(II), and 5(II)(B)(2) to allow an architectural style not aligned with the American architectural precedents of the DGRs.

The following should be considered in the Board's decision:

Context and general applicable standards

- At its meeting on June 8, the Architectural Review Board tabled this application and requested that the applicant work with the city architect to update the plans for a more cohesive, architecturally unified design. Originally, the application required a waiver to DGR Sections 1(I)(G) and 5(II)(B)(2) for the mixing of elements from different styles and for a design that is not of a traditional historical style; to Section 1(II), which outlines the traditional historical styles; and to Section 5(II)(F)(6) to use single-hung windows. While three of these waivers remain due to the proposal not utilizing a traditional historical style, the proposal has been updated to be more aligned with these standards, especially by minimizing the mixing of elements from different styles. The city architect has corresponded with the applicant on the updates to the proposal and is supportive of the architectural style.
- The applicant proposes a design that does not strictly follow any single American architectural precedent outlined in Section 1(II) of the DGRs. While variations from some requirements of any precedent example are normal and even encouraged—DGR Section 1(II) states each of the American architectural precedent examples provide opportunities for stylistic variations within each style—there are numerous considerations that vary from the DGRs or from an approved style, and thus a waiver is required. The requested waiver for variation from the DGRs is to allow an architectural design that is not found in the American architectural precedent, but the mixing of different styles no longer applies.
- DGR Section 1(I)(G) specifies that the design of buildings in the city should include attention to detail and ornamentation consistent with the precedents of American architectural styles. DGR Section 5(II)(B)(2) further verifies that designs must be accurate renderings of traditional historical styles.
- According to DGR Section 1(I)(J), building lots located within areas where there are a number of existing buildings will not necessarily be expected to follow the American architectural precedent described in the standards. Greater attention should be given to the physical context of location so that the design is compatible with its neighbors.
- According to DGR Section 1(II), the American architectural precedent examples in the DGRs share commonalities, including that they are all highly ordered with a certain formality to the design; utilize traditional building forms; and have detailing influenced by classical architecture. In particular, the buildings typically include a regular form, formal symmetry, brick or wood exteriors, an architecturally defined entrance, gabled or hipped rooflines, and divided-lite, multi-paned windows. Additionally, Section 1(II) states that **contemporary interpretations of the American architectural styles may be considered.**

Evaluation

- It is not uncommon for older homes or homes in areas with an older housing stock to deviate from the styles, exterior materials, and architectural details that are required for newer, more visible homes and lots. Many existing houses along Central College Road were built in the 1960s through 1980s and do not meet the newer standards contained in the Design Guidelines and Requirements.
- The city architect is highly supportive of the updates to the plans. Most of the updates apply to the garage portion of the home, which the city architect and Architectural Review Board found to be large, bulky, and architecturally unrelated to the main house form and appeared to dominate the rest of the building. The city architect states that he believes the new composition is the correct approach, as it allows the garage to be a cohesive part of the architectural strategy.
 - The applicant has added a turned gable at the end of the garage, running in the same direction as the main house mass. The new gable allows the overall garage mass to be reduced while “breaking up” the formerly long façade into elements with a more human scale. This gable allows the garage to appear more comparable and cohesive with the main house mass.
 - The left elevation of the garage mass has been divided between the area with the new gable form and a newly inset “connector” between the main house and new gable. The applicant also proposes one double-bay garage door on the “connector” part of the façade (see waiver C), which further breaks up the former repetition and visual dominance of the full elevation. Additionally, there is a single shed roof dormer centered above the double-wide garage door, which helps ground the architectural strategy.
 - Windows have been added to the frontmost façade on the garage, facing the public right-of-way. As supported by the DGRs, the windows give this portion of the house the appearance of being “lived in;” the garage mass feels more human-scaled and as an active part of the rest of the house.



Image: Before (top) and after (bottom), showing the changes made to the house design. The garage gable has been rotated and the form has been adjusted to create a stronger sense of cohesion with the main portion of the home.

- DGR Section 5(II)(F)(6) requires double-hung, true or simulated divided-light windows with vertically oriented glass panes, correctly profiled muntins with a visually three-dimensional internal spacer, wood material with either vinyl or aluminum cladding, and historically accurate proportions. The applicant has revised the application to have double-hung, divided-light windows that meet this requirement.
- The design is not appropriate in terms of site context. While most surrounding homes are modest rural structures, the proposed house exceeds the scale of the homes in its vicinity and has a more contemporary architectural style. A similar material combination and exterior style does not appear to be present in the vicinity. However, the site is much larger than most other lots in the area, which may grant flexibility concerning an appropriate form and style.
- While the overall character of the home does not conform to the American architectural precedent, the proposal meets a few common details found in the American architectural precedent examples by using gabled rooflines and an architecturally defined entrance. The main portion of the front elevation additionally utilizes formal symmetry. Additionally, the home does conform to a more uniform architectural character, and DGR Section 1(II) states that more contemporary styles may be considered.
- The waiver may be necessary for reasons of fairness. Many of the homes in the vicinity do not conform to the intent of or standards set forth in the DGRs, and a new build that more comprehensively conforms to the DGRs would not provide an appropriate pattern of development given the character of the existing area. Further, with a larger acreage than that of most homes in the vicinity and with a unique natural character due to being next to Sugar Run, the parcel offers a unique opportunity to develop a larger, more architecturally flexible building. There is a high level of design consideration that reflects the high standards of quality found in the city, though approving the waiver could set precedent for architecturally contemporary proposals. Additionally, there are no site-specific constraints that prevent traditional architecture from being used.
- The waiver will not detrimentally affect the public health, safety, or general welfare.
- Should this waiver be denied, the applicant will have to follow an architectural precedent and the other standards described in the DGRs, subject to staff approval or future waiver requests. Otherwise, the Board may approve this waiver and allow the proposed style or approve this waiver with conditions that specify the extent of architectural flexibility from the DGRs, keeping in mind the waiver criteria, the aforementioned evaluation, and the site context.

(B) Waiver to DGR Section 1(I)(E) to allow a garage that is located in front of the main portion of the building.

The following should be considered in the Board’s decision:

Context and general applicable standards

- The city architect and the Architectural Review Board identified this waiver as a primary concern regarding the original application heard by the board at its June 8 meeting due to the size and massing of the garage portion of the home. Two overall changes have been made to the garage to address these concerns and bring the garage more into alignment with the DGRs:
 - The garage height has been reduced, and the waiver for DGR Section 5(II)(E)(3) to allow a garage to be taller than the main portion of the building is no longer applicable.
 - The garage form has been slightly altered, allowing the garage to be more cohesive with the rest of the home rather than appearing to “stick out” on its own.
- The applicant proposes a new home with a large garage mass extending approximately 55 feet from the main portion of the home toward the public right-of-way. The garage mass includes garage doors that face the eastern side yard and are not front facing, though they are in front of the main portion of the house. The garage mass is one of only a few portions of the house with a second-story dwelling area.

- DGR Section 1(I)(E) states that parking areas and garages should be placed in locations to minimize their visual impact through a combination of garage location and landscaping or other screening methods. It further states that garage doors should not be on primary façades of buildings. Similarly, the Engage New Albany Strategic Plan encourages rear or side-loaded garages, and when a garage faces the street, the front façade of the garage should be set back from the front façade of the house.
- When garage doors face a primary street, DGR Section 5(II)(B)(4) requires the façade of the garage to be set back from all portions of the principal façade by a minimum of 10 feet. While the intent of this standard might apply, the garage doors are technically side-loaded and are not required to meet this standard.
- According to DGR Section 1(I)(J), building lots located within areas where there are a number of existing buildings will not necessarily be expected to follow the American architectural precedent described in the standards. Greater attention should be given to the physical context of location so that the design is compatible with its neighbors.

Evaluation

- The applicant has revised the height and layout of the proposed garage to respond to the concerns raised by the Architectural Review Board at its first hearing of the application. The revisions were coordinated with city staff and the city architect.
- In the original application, the massing of the forward-facing garage was large, bulky, and architecturally unrelated to the main house form and appeared to dominate the rest of the building. The city architect states that he believes the new composition is the correct approach, as it allows the garage to be a cohesive part of the architectural strategy. See waiver A for more specific descriptions of the updates to the garage.
- It is not uncommon for older homes or homes in areas with older housing stock to have unscreened, front-facing garages located on the primary façade of the building. Many houses along Central College Road were built in the 1960s through 1980s and do not meet the newer standards contained in the Design Guidelines and Requirements.
- The waiver does not meet the intent of the standard or the goals of other city regulations. The garage doors technically do not face the primary road as recommended by the DGRs and Strategic Plan; however, the garage form and location do not minimize the visual impact of the garage. The height of the garage mass has been decreased, and the architectural details of the garage mass have been updated to appear more integrated with the rest of the house, which helps reduce its visual impact.
- The waiver is not necessary for reasons of fairness. While there are unique site constraints—largely due to the floodplain encompassing the eastern portion of the lot—other layouts are feasible where the garage is not located in front of the main portion of the building or where its visual impact is decreased.
- The waiver will not detrimentally affect the public health, safety, or general welfare.

(C) Waiver to DGR Section 5(II)(B)(3) to allow garage doors that are double-bay when single-bay garage doors are required.

The following should be considered in the Board’s decision:

Context and general applicable standards

- DGR Section 5(II)(B)(3) states that garages must use single-bay doors no greater than 10 feet in width.
- Following correspondence with the city architect, the applicant proposes the use of a double-bay garage door.
- According to DGR Section 1(I)(J), building lots located within areas where there are a number of existing buildings will not necessarily be expected to follow the American architectural precedent described in the standards. Greater attention should be given to the physical context of location so that the design is compatible with its neighbors.

Evaluation

- The home was originally designed with four single-bay garage doors accessible from the front motor court. The city architect argues that, aesthetically, four individual garage doors off of the front elevation would be overwhelming; as such, he advocates the use of two single-bay garage doors under the new gable form and one double-wide door between the main house and new gable. The double-wide door is inset from the single doors and acts as a “connector” between the forms. The design of both single- and double-wide doors express the same proportional intent. Additionally, the applicant has centered a single shed roof dormer above the double-wide door, which grounds the architectural strategy. The architect is highly supportive of the new configuration.
- The waiver is appropriate in terms of site context. It is not uncommon for older homes or homes in areas with older housing stock to have double-bay garage doors and other existing non-conformities; given the nature of the existing housing stock in the vicinity, double-bay garage doors are not unusual. Additionally, both adjacent properties have double-bay garage doors.
- The intent of the garage width standard appears to be to reduce the visual impact of large, monolithic garage doors and minimize the scale of the automobile in favor of the pedestrian. While the proposed garage door does not appear to meet this intent by way of width, it complies with the design guidelines by not facing the public right-of-way and by utilizing a design that creates a single-bay appearance. Additionally, the façade on which the double-bay garage is located is set back a bit from the façade with single-bay garage doors, limiting its visibility.
- The waiver is not necessary for any unusual site-specific constraints. The home has not yet been built, and the façade can be extended to make room for two single-bay garage doors instead of one double-bay garage door.
- The waiver will not detrimentally affect the public health, safety, or general welfare.

(D) Waiver to DGR Section 5(II)(F)(4) to allow chimneys with stone exteriors when brick exteriors are required for chimneys.

The following should be considered in the Board’s decision:

Context and general applicable standards

- DGR Section 5(II)(F)(4) requires all exposed exterior chimneys to be constructed of brick.
- The applicant proposes the use of stone for the exterior faux chimney material. The material reflects the use of stone being proposed as an exterior material on the front façade and water table of the house.
- According to DGR Section 1(I)(J), building lots located within areas where there are a number of existing buildings will not necessarily be expected to follow the American architectural precedent described in the standards. Greater attention should be given to the physical context of location so that the design is compatible with its neighbors.

Evaluation

- The city architect is highly supportive of the use of chimneys for the proposed development, especially to support the architectural style. Given the certificate of appropriateness for the use of stone as one of a few building materials, the applicant proposes stone as the exterior material of the faux chimneys as well.
- The intent of this standard is largely for aesthetic purposes, requiring chimneys to reflect the historical precedent described in the DGRs and the character of the area. While the use of stone may not meet the historical precedent, it conforms to the character of the vicinity and to the rest of the proposed house.
- While the waiver is not necessary for any unusual site-specific constraints, it appears fair and appropriate should the material certificate of appropriateness be approved. The stone on the chimney will match the proposed stone used on the home’s foundation and largely around the primary entrance to the house.

- The stone provides an appropriate design and pattern of development considering the context in which it is proposed. since the material is also used as the foundation material.
- The waiver will not detrimentally affect the public health, safety, or general welfare. The applicant is proposing faux chimneys, so the exterior material does not pose any fire-related concerns.

IV. SUMMARY

The applicant requests a certificate of appropriateness for the use of Hardie board and stone as exterior materials in addition to waivers regarding architectural style, garage location, garage door width, and chimney material. The certificate of appropriateness is for a new home along Central College Road, just outside of the Cedar Brook subdivision and offered a unique natural setting next to Sugar Run. Due to the older nature of the area, the evaluation of the application’s appropriateness should consider the physical context rather than strict adherence to the Design Guidelines and Requirements.

The city architect is highly supportive of the updates to the plans. Most of the updates apply to the garage portion of the home, which the city architect and Architectural Review Board found to be architecturally unrelated to the main house form and appeared to dominate the rest of the building. The city architect believes the new composition is the correct approach, as it allows the garage to be a cohesive part of the architectural strategy. The waivers for the double-bay garage and stone chimneys assist in creating a well-designed, cohesive architectural style, though this style appears more contemporary and does not adhere to an American architectural precedent described in Section I(II) of the Design Guidelines and Requirements.

V. ACTION

Should the Architectural Review Board find sufficient basis for approval, the following motion would be appropriate (conditions of approval may be added):

Move to approve Certificate of Appropriateness application ARB-36-2026.


Approximate Site Location:



Source: NearMap



Community Development Planning Application

Submission	<p>Submit planning applications and all required materials via email to planning@newalbanyohio.org</p> <p>Paper copies are not required at this time however, 12 paper copies of the entire submission will be required ahead of a board hearing date. The planner assigned to your case will inform you when the paper copies need to be delivered to our offices. Fee invoices will be issued to you once the application is entered.</p>																									
Project Information	<p>Site Address <u>6905 Central College Road, New Albany, OH 43054</u></p> <p>Parcel Numbers <u>222-000349</u></p> <p>Acres <u>3.57</u> # of lots created <u>1</u></p> <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Choose Application Type</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Description of Request:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Appeal</td> <td rowspan="8"> Variance from the following design guidelines due to multiple restricting site conditions: (DGR Section 5(II)(F)(1))- in regards to mixing external materials. (DGR Sections 1(I)(E) and 5(II)(B)(3-4))- in regards to garage location. (DGR Sections 5(II)(B)(1-2) / (DGR Section 5(II)(B)(5))- in regards to building form/massing and length. </td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Appropriateness</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Conditional Use</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Development Plan</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Plat</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Lot Changes</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Minor Commercial Subdivision</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Zoning Amendment (Rezoning)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Zoning Text Modification</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Choose Application Type	Description of Request:	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal	Variance from the following design guidelines due to multiple restricting site conditions: (DGR Section 5(II)(F)(1))- in regards to mixing external materials. (DGR Sections 1(I)(E) and 5(II)(B)(3-4))- in regards to garage location. (DGR Sections 5(II)(B)(1-2) / (DGR Section 5(II)(B)(5))- in regards to building form/massing and length.	<input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Appropriateness	<input type="checkbox"/> Conditional Use	<input type="checkbox"/> Development Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> Plat	<input type="checkbox"/> Lot Changes	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor Commercial Subdivision	<input type="checkbox"/> Zoning Amendment (Rezoning)	<input type="checkbox"/> Zoning Text Modification												
Choose Application Type	Description of Request:																									
<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal	Variance from the following design guidelines due to multiple restricting site conditions: (DGR Section 5(II)(F)(1))- in regards to mixing external materials. (DGR Sections 1(I)(E) and 5(II)(B)(3-4))- in regards to garage location. (DGR Sections 5(II)(B)(1-2) / (DGR Section 5(II)(B)(5))- in regards to building form/massing and length.																									
<input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Appropriateness																										
<input type="checkbox"/> Conditional Use																										
<input type="checkbox"/> Development Plan																										
<input type="checkbox"/> Plat																										
<input type="checkbox"/> Lot Changes																										
<input type="checkbox"/> Minor Commercial Subdivision																										
<input type="checkbox"/> Zoning Amendment (Rezoning)																										
<input type="checkbox"/> Zoning Text Modification																										
Contacts	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Applicant Information</th> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Property Owner Information</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Name</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Patrick Miller</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Name</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Hope Boren</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Address</td> <td style="background-color: black;"></td> <td>Address</td> <td style="background-color: black;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>City, State, Zip</td> <td style="background-color: black;"></td> <td>City, State, Zip</td> <td style="background-color: black;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Phone Number</td> <td style="background-color: black;"></td> <td>Phone Number</td> <td style="background-color: black;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Email</td> <td style="background-color: black;"></td> <td>Email</td> <td style="background-color: black;"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Applicant Information		Property Owner Information		Name	Patrick Miller	Name	Hope Boren	Address		Address		City, State, Zip		City, State, Zip		Phone Number		Phone Number		Email		Email		
Applicant Information		Property Owner Information																								
Name	Patrick Miller	Name	Hope Boren																							
Address		Address																								
City, State, Zip		City, State, Zip																								
Phone Number		Phone Number																								
Email		Email																								
Signature	<p>Site visits to the property by City of New Albany representatives are essential to process this application. The Owner/Applicant, as signed below, hereby authorizes Village of New Albany representatives, employees and appointed and elected officials to visit, photograph and post a notice on the property described in this application. I certify that the information here within and attached to this application is true, correct and complete.</p> <p>Signature of Owner <u></u> Date: <u>05/05/26</u></p> <p>Signature of Applicant _____ Date: _____</p>																									

Department Address: 7815 Walton Parkway • New Albany, Ohio 43054 • Phone 614.939.2254

Mailing Address: 99 West Main Street • P.O. Box 188 • New Albany, Ohio 43054

LEGEND

- SAN — = PR. SANITARY SEWER
- W — = PR. WATERLINE
- STM — = PR. STORM SEWER
- G — = PR. GAS LINE
- E — = PR. ELECTRIC LINE
- - - = SETBACK LINE
- - - = EX. EASEMENT LINE
- — — = EX. PAVEMENT



Old World
CUSTOM HOMES

FOR: Old World Homes ADDRESS: 6905 Central College Road, New Albany, Ohio 43054

LOT AREA: 3.570 Acres Sec: 13 T: 2 R: 16 CITY: New Albany COUNTY: Franklin STATE: Ohio

FLOOD ZONE: X/AE COMMUNITY PANEL: 39049C PANEL No.: 0206K EFFECTIVE DATE: 06-17-2008


MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS: FRONT 50' from R/W REAR 50' SIDE 20'

SCALE

1" = 60 feet



CENTRAL COLLEGE ROAD
(60' R/W)



AREA SUMMARY
 Lot Area: 154477.6 Ft²
 Foundation Area: 11474.6 Ft²
 Driveway Area: 6917.1 Ft²
 Driveway Approach: N/A
 Walk: N/A
 Sod Coverage: N/A
 Seed Coverage: N/A

HOUSE DETAILS: 1" = 40'

NOTES:

Erosion protection and sediment control must be maintained through all phases of construction. Field Modifications may be necessary to the erosion and sediment control.

Underground utility locations are based on record drawings and above ground structure locations and should be verified prior to construction.

Builder shall provide a minimum of 0.5 feet of fall in 10 feet around house.

Be advised: A sub-surface drainage system may exist on this site. The system and/or outlet, if located on this site, must be maintained at all times.

Not all easements may be shown hereon. A title commitment report is recommended for review prior to construction but was not provided.

PRELIMINARY

PLOT & GRADING PLAN
6905 CENTRAL COLLEGE ROAD - BOREN



Korda/Nemeth Engineering, Inc - Consulting Engineers
 1650 Watermark Drive, Suite 200 - Columbus, Ohio 43215-7010
 TEL 614-487-1650 FAX 614-487-8981 WEB www.korda.com

DATE	JOB#
March 26, 2026	2026-7402
REVISED	DRAWN
	BLT
DWG.	CHK'D.
	DRH



Original proposal
from 6/8/26

HOPE BOREN RESIDENCE

6915 CENTRAL COLLEGE ROAD, NEW ALBANY, OHIO 43054

DRAWING SCHEDULE	
COVER SHEET	1
FOUNDATION	2
finished basement	3
MAIN FLOOR	4
SECOND FLOOR	5
FRONT & REAR ELEVATIONS	6
SIDE ELEVATIONS	7
SECTIONS	8
ROOF PLAN	9



AREA SCHEDULE	
NAME	AREA
MAIN FLOOR	5,018 SQ. FT.
LOOK OUT	238 SQ. FT.
TOTAL FINISHED AREA	5,256 SQ. FT.
FINISHED BASEMENT	2,828 SQ. FT.
GARAGE	1,520 SQ. FT.
SEASON ROOM	503 SQ. FT.
FRONT PORCH	86 SQ. FT.
REAR PORCH	671 SQ. FT.
SPORT BUILDING	2,508 SQ. FT.
POOL HOUSE	1,548 SQ. FT.

PAGE: **1** COVER SHEET

APPROVED: _____ CHECKED BY: _____


SECTION LETTER: **A** PAGE NUMBERS: **11**

SCALE: As Noted DRAWN BY: _____ DATE: 5/18/2026


OWC of Columbus, LLC
 7056 MEARS GATE DR NW PHONE: 330.494.0228
 NORTH CANTON, OH 44720 FAX: 330.866.9233
 WWW.OLDWORLDCLASSICS.COM

Old World
CUSTOM HOMES


HOPE BOREN RESIDENCE
 6915 CENTRAL COLLEGE ROAD
 New Albany, OH 43054




FOUNDATION
SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"



FINISHED BASEMENT
SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"



MAIN FLOOR
SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"



SECOND FLOOR
SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"

8' WALL HEIGHTS
6'8" DOOR HEIGHTS
UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

8' WALL HEIGHTS
6'8" DOOR HEIGHTS
UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

FUTURE FINISHED SPACE
FRAME EXTERIOR WALLS ONLY
652 SQ. FT.

LOOKOUT

FIRE RATED DOOR

MECH.

GYM LOFT

LIVE/DINNER


FAUX CHIMNEY

FAUX CHIMNEY




FRONT ELEVATION
SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PLANK LAP SIDING	HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL BOARD & BATTEN
HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PANELING & TRIM	CASA DI SASSI CAST STONE
STONE FOUNDATION BAND ON ALL SIDES EXCLUDING RIGHT ELEVATION	ALUMINIM SOFFIT & FASCIA
6" HARDIE CEDARMILL FRIEZE BOARDS	6" HARDIE TRANSITION BOARDS
4" HARDIE RUSTIC GRAIN WINDOW TRIM	LIMESTONE HEADERS IN STONE AREAS
10"X10" ARCHITECTURAL HARDIE ROUGHSAWN TRIM WRAPPED POSTS ON FRONT & REAR PORCHES	WAYNE-DALTON 8600-SERIES GARAGE DOORS



REAR ELEVATION
SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"



RIGHT ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PLANK LAP SIDING	HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL BOARD & BATTEN
HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PANELING & TRIM	CASA DI SASSI CAST STONE
STONE FOUNDATION BAND ON ALL SIDES EXCLUDING RIGHT ELEVATION	ALUMINIM SOFFIT & FASCIA
6" HARDIE CEDARMILL FRIEZE BOARDS	6" HARDIE TRANSITION BOARDS
4" HARDIE RUSTIC GRAIN WINDOW TRIM	LIMESTONE HEADERS IN STONE AREAS
10"X10" ARCHITECTURAL HARDIE ROUGHSAWN TRIM WRAPPED POSTS ON FRONT & REAR PORCHES	WAYNE-DALTON 8600-SERIES GARAGE DOORS




LEFT ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



CROSS SECTION A
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



CROSS SECTION B
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"




CROSS SECTION C
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



GREAT ROOM SLIDER ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"




CROSS SECTION D
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



ROOF PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"


12" OVERHANGS TYPICAL
UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

5" SEAMLESS GUTTERS/DOWNSPOUTS - DARK BRONZE
 WINDOWS - ANDERSEN 100 DARK BRONZE FULL DIVIDED LITE



FRONT ELEVATION
 SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PLANK LAP SIDING	HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL BOARD & BATTEN
HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PANELING & TRIM	CASA DI SASSI CAST STONE
STONE FOUNDATION BAND ON ALL SIDES EXCLUDING RIGHT ELEVATION	ALUMINUM SOFFIT & FASCIA
6" HARDIE CEDARMILL FRIEZE BOARDS	6" HARDIE TRANSITION BOARDS
4" HARDIE RUSTIC GRAIN WINDOW TRIM	LIMESTONE HEADERS IN STONE AREAS
10"X10" ARCHITECTURAL HARDIE ROUGHSAWN TRIM WRAPPED POSTS ON FRONT & REAR PORCHES	WAYNE-DALTON 8600-SERIES GARAGE DOORS




REAR ELEVATION
 SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

PAGE: **6**
 APPROVED: _____
 CHECKED BY: _____
 SECTION LETTER: **A**
 DRAWING NUMBER: **11**
 SCALE: AS NOTED
 DRAWN BY: _____
 DATE: 5/15/2026

OWC of Columbus, LLC
 7055 MEARS GATE DR NW
 NORTH CANTON, OH 44720
 PHONE: 330.494.0228
 FAX: 330.966.9233
 WWW.OLDWORLDCLASSICS.COM




HOPE BOREN RESIDENCE
 6915 CENTRAL COLLEGE ROAD
 New Albany, OH 43054



RIGHT ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PLANK LAP SIDING	HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL BOARD & BATTEN
HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PANELING & TRIM	CASA DI SASSI CAST STONE
STONE FOUNDATION BAND ON ALL SIDES EXCLUDING RIGHT ELEVATION	ALUMINIM SOFFIT & FASCIA
6" HARDIE CEDARMILL FRIEZE BOARDS	6" HARDIE TRANSITION BOARDS
4" HARDIE RUSTIC GRAIN WINDOW TRIM	LIMESTONE HEADERS IN STONE AREAS
10"X10" ARCHITECTURAL HARDIE ROUGHSAWN TRIM WRAPPED POSTS ON FRONT & REAR PORCHES	WAYNE-DALTON 8600-SERIES GARAGE DOORS



LEFT ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

Updated proposal for 7/13/26



6905 Central College

Old World Custom Homes

Entry Approach -



Entry Approach -



Additional Context Views-



Additional Context Views-



Additional Context Views-



HOPE BOREN RESIDENCE

6915 CENTRAL COLLEGE ROAD, NEW ALBANY, OHIO 43054

DRAWING SCHEDULE	
COVER SHEET	1
FOUNDATION	2
finished basement	3
MAIN FLOOR	4
SECOND FLOOR	5
FRONT & REAR ELEVATIONS	6
SIDE ELEVATIONS	7
SECTIONS	8
ROOF PLAN	9



AREA SCHEDULE	
NAME	AREA
MAIN FLOOR	5,018 SQ. FT.
LOOK OUT	238 SQ. FT.
TOTAL FINISHED AREA	5,256 SQ. FT.
FINISHED BASEMENT	2,828 SQ. FT.
GARAGE	1,520 SQ. FT.
SEASON ROOM	503 SQ. FT.
FRONT PORCH	86 SQ. FT.
REAR PORCH	671 SQ. FT.
SPORT BUILDING	2,508 SQ. FT.
POOL HOUSE	1,548 SQ. FT.

PAGE: **1** COVER SHEET

APPROVED: _____ CHECKED BY: _____

SECTION LETTER: **A** PAGE NUMBERS: **11**


SCALE: As Noted
DRAWN BY:
DATE: 7/1/2026

OWC of Columbus, LLC
7056 MEARS GATE DR NW
NORTH CANTON, OH 44720
WWW.OLDWORLDCLASSICS.COM
PHONE: 330.494.0228
FAX: 330.966.9233


Old World
CUSTOM HOMES

HOPE BOREN RESIDENCE
6915 CENTRAL COLLEGE ROAD
New Albany, OH 43054


FOUNDATION
SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"



FINISHED BASEMENT
SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"




FINISHED BASEMENT - 2,828 SQ.FT.



MAIN FLOOR
SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"

SPORT BLDG. - 2,508 SQ. FT.
POOL HOUSE - 1,548 SQ. FT.
MAIN FLOOR - 5,018 SQ. FT.
LOOK OUT - 238 SQ. FT.
TOTAL = 5,256 SQ. FT.



SECOND FLOOR
SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"


8" WALL HEIGHTS
6'8" DOOR HEIGHTS
UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

8" WALL HEIGHTS
6'8" DOOR HEIGHTS
UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED



FRONT ELEVATION
SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PLANK LAP SIDING	HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL BOARD & BATTEN
HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PANELING & TRIM	CASA DI SASSI CAST STONE
STONE FOUNDATION BAND ON ALL SIDES EXCLUDING RIGHT ELEVATION	ALUMINIM SOFFIT & FASCIA
6" HARDIE CEDARMILL FRIEZE BOARDS	6" HARDIE TRANSITION BOARDS
4" HARDIE RUSTIC GRAIN WINDOW TRIM	LIMESTONE HEADERS IN STONE AREAS
10"X10" ARCHITECTURAL HARDIE ROUGHSAWN TRIM WRAPPED POSTS ON FRONT & REAR PORCHES	WAYNE-DALTON 8600-SERIES GARAGE DOORS



REAR ELEVATION
SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

OWC of Columbus, LLC
 7055 MEARS GATE DR NW
 NORTH CANTON, OH 44720
 WWW.OLDWORLDCLASSICS.COM
 PHONE: 330.494.0228
 FAX: 330.966.9233

Old World
 CUSTOM HOMES


HOPE BOREN
 RESIDENCE
 6915 CENTRAL COLLEGE ROAD
 New Albany, OH 43054

SCALE: As Noted
 DRAWN BY:
 DATE: 7/11/2026

APPROVED:
 SECTION LETTER
 A
 11

CHECKED BY:
 PAGE NUMBERS

PAGE: 6
 FRONT & REAR ELEVATIONS



RIGHT ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"


HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PLANK LAP SIDING	HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL BOARD & BATTEN
HARDIE SELECT CEDARMILL PANELING & TRIM	CASA DI SASSI CAST STONE
STONE FOUNDATION BAND ON ALL SIDES EXCLUDING RIGHT ELEVATION	ALUMINIM SOFFIT & FASCIA
6" HARDIE CEDARMILL FRIEZE BOARDS	6" HARDIE TRANSITION BOARDS
4" HARDIE RUSTIC GRAIN WINDOW TRIM	LIMESTONE HEADERS IN STONE AREAS
10"X10" ARCHITECTURAL HARDIE ROUGHSAWN TRIM WRAPPED POSTS ON FRONT & REAR PORCHES	WAYNE-DALTON 8600-SERIES GARAGE DOORS




LEFT ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



CROSS SECTION A
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



CROSS SECTION B
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"




CROSS SECTION C
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



GREAT ROOM SLIDER ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



CROSS SECTION D
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



ROOF PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"

12" OVERHANGS TYPICAL
UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED